1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2 3	MARC SPITZER Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED	
4	Commissioner JEFF HATCH-MILLER	JAN 2Q 2004	
_	Commissioner		
5	MIKE GLEASON Commissioner	DOCKETED BY	
6	KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner		
7		당하 불의 전 경기를 내려왔다고 있다. 시간 시간	
8	IN THE MATTER OF VERIZON	) DOCKET NO. T-01846B-03-0710	
9	CALIFORNIA, INC. – TARIFF FILING TO REPLACE CONCURRENCE LANGUAGE	DECISION NO. 66735	
10	WITH ITS OWN TARIFF	ORDER	
11			
12	Open Meeting January 13 and 14, 2004		
13	Phoenix, Arizona	[시간원] 내용 이 병으로 하고 하고 하는 사람들은	
14	BY THE COMMISSION:		
15	FINDINGS OF FACT.		
16	1. On September 26, 2003, Verizon California, Inc. ("Verizon" or "Company") filed tariff		
17	revisions to replace tariff language that concurs in Qwest Corporation's tariff for MTS, WATS and the		
18	Arizona Universal Service Fund with its own tariff.		
19	2. In the cover letter attached to the proposed tariff changes, Verizon indicated that it		
20	would provide Staff with confidential information that supports the filing under a protective agreement.		
21	No protective agreement had been executed at that time.		
22	3. On October 24, 2003, the Commission, in Decision No. 66467, suspended the filing for		
23	a period of ninety days.		
24	4. Staff has received the confide	ential information and reviewed the filing. Staff has	

determined that Verizon's proposed changes to the long distance rates would result in an increase in

rates. Staff also determined that Verizon has not submitted a request to classify its long distance

services as competitive. Such a classification would allow Verizon to revise its long distance rates on a

streamlined basis, rather than having the meet the standard rate increase filing requirements.

25

26

27

28

Decision No.

2 3 4

1

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Staff has suggested to Verizon that it make an application to have its long distance services classified as competitive. Staff believes that Verizon's toll service can probably be classified as competitive, but does not have all of the information necessary to make a supportable recommendation. Verizon is in the process of determining whether it will file for competitive service classification of its MTS and WATS service.

5. Staff has recommended that the filing be suspended for an additional period of ninety days.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Verizon is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
  - 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Verizon and the subject matter of the request.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the filing and Staff's Memorandum dated December 24, 2003, concludes that it is in the public interest to suspend the filing for an additional period of ninety (90) days.

66735 Decision No.

Decision No.

**ORDER** THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that this filing be and hereby is suspended until and including April 22, 2004. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION **CHAIRMAN** COMMISSIONER IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 20th day of January, 2004. Executive Secreta DISSENT: DISSENT: EGJ:WMS:red 

1.0		
1	SERVICE LIST FOR: Verizon California, Inc.	
2	DOCKET NO. T-01846B-03-0710	
3	Ms. Susan K. Miller Manager-Regulatory Affairs	
4	Verizon California, Inc.	
5	112 South Lakeview Canyon Road, CA501GC Thousand Oaks, California 91362	
6		
	Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division	
7	Arizona Corporation Commission	
8	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
9		
10	Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Chief Counsel	
11	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	[현실 프로마 크림스 : 1925년 전 1925년 1일	
1979		
26		
27		
28	[	

66735
Decision No.